

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

Case Reference: 323761

Bina Devaney

Lissavalley

Barnaderg

Tuam

Galway

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála

64 Marlborough Street

Dublin 1

D01 V902

Date: 01 November 2025

Re: Observation/Submission to proposed wind energy development at Cooloo Wind Farm

Location: Cloondahamper, Cloonascragh, Elmhill, Cooloo, Lecarrow, Dangan Eighter, Lissavally, Slievegorm - Co. Galway

Applicant: Neoen Renewables Ireland Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

I was born and raised in Tygreenane, I spent 11 years there. I moved to England for 10 years and returned to marry and settle in Lissavalley over 50 years ago. Now I have grandchildren living beside me and I am fearful for their future regarding any possible future restrictions on their ability to get planning permission in this area due to this development.

My house is 8 metres from the road and is less than 1km from the construction entrance. The traffic on the road outside my house while all this is going on will have an impact on my ability to walk the road as a form of exercise. I am concerned about the number of trucks that will be on the road during the construction phase of this project.

In the 1980s we had major issues with the Barnaderg Group Water Scheme as it was known then. It was dirty, coming out black from the taps and unusable. We went back to using local wells as our source of water, we could not wash our babies clothes at the time. It took a long time for the water scheme to rectify these problems before we could use it again safely. We have something to be proud of with the quality of our water scheme at the

moment and I am fearful that if this development proceeds it will lead to similar problems returning to our

water scheme.

I wish to object to this development because of these reasons and the reasons below.

Reliance on outdated 2006 guidelines

I object on the grounds that the ongoing reliance on the Wind Energy Development Guidelines 2006 is increasingly inappropriate given the advancements in wind energy technology.

At the time the 2006 Guidelines were published, turbines rarely exceeded 100 metres in height and produced 1-2 MW of power. In contrast, the turbines proposed in this development will reach 180 metres and generate approximately 6 MW, resulting in significantly greater impacts than those envisaged by the 2006 Guidelines.

These guidelines have repeatedly been acknowledged in the Dáil as outdated. In 2013, Deputy Micheál Martin informed then-Taoiseach Enda Kenny that the guidelines did not account for contemporary technology. In 2025, Tánaiste Simon Harris reiterated in the Dáil that the guidelines remain outdated.

Accordingly, it is unreasonable and inconsistent with principles of proper planning and sustainable development for An Coimisiún Pleanála to rely solely on the 2006 Guidelines. Any decision must be informed by current standards and technological realities.

Risk to Barnaderg Gortbeg water supply

I use the water from the Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme as my main source of drinking water for my household. The water is of excellent quality and I am very concerned that pollution of various types such as silt, sediment and other contaminants will enter the water source, causing me and my family harm. With the location of two Turbines within the Source Protection Area (SPA) I believe the Cooloo Windfarm should not be granted permission whatsoever, especially in such a highly karsified and hydrologically sensitive area.

Right to peaceful enjoyment of property

I object on the grounds that this development violates the right to peaceful enjoyment of property under the European Convention on Human Rights.

Article 1, Protocol 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) safeguards every individual's right to the peaceful enjoyment of their possessions. It provides that: "Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law."

Approval of this proposed wind farm would constitute a clear interference with this right. If the development proceeds, I will be deprived of the peaceful enjoyment of my home and property. The construction and operation phases would bring significant and continuous disturbance - including persistent noise pollution, low-frequency noise (LFN), shadow flicker, and heavy vehicle movements. The tranquillity and visual amenity of my surroundings, which form an intrinsic part of my home environment and well-being, would be irreversibly diminished.

During construction, the constant flow of heavy machinery and associated noise would cause ongoing disruption and stress, further impacting daily life. Once operational, the presence of industrial-scale turbines dominating the landscape would permanently alter the character of the area, stripping residents of the quiet enjoyment of their homes and lands. This level of intrusion cannot be considered proportionate or justified in the public interest, and therefore conflicts with the protections afforded under Article 1, Protocol 1 of the ECHR.

Biodiversity Impact - Earthworms

I object on the grounds that the Environmental Impact Assessment fails to address the impacts of wind turbine-induced vibrational noise on soil biodiversity and ecosystem function, particularly earthworm populations.

Recent peer-reviewed research by Velilla et al. (2021, *Oikos*, 130(7), 1033–1047) demonstrates that wind turbines generate continuous low-frequency vibrations (< 500 Hz) that travel considerable distances through soil. Key findings include:

- Vibrational noise decreased by only 23 ± 7 dB over 200 metres, meaning measurable vibration extends well beyond turbine bases
- Earthworm abundance declined by approximately 40% near turbines compared to sampling points further away
- Soil compaction and crop type were ruled out, confirming vibrational noise was the primary cause
- The impact is body-size-dependent, especially harmful to earthworms and other large soil invertebrates

Earthworms are critical "ecosystem engineers" essential to soil health and agricultural productivity. A 40% decline in their populations can lead to:

- Reduced soil aeration and water infiltration, increasing flooding and erosion risks
- Disruption of nutrient cycling and carbon sequestration, undermining soil fertility and climate regulation
- Deterioration of soil structure and microbial balance, impacting crop performance and long-term land viability

These impacts are especially concerning in productive agricultural soils. The Cooloo site shares characteristics with the farmland studied by Velilla et al. (2021) — organically managed, rural, and composed of active agricultural soils.

The Environmental Impact Assessment submitted for Cooloo does not address subsurface vibrational noise or its potential to degrade soil ecosystems. This represents a significant omission in the assessment of environmental and agricultural impacts.

I respectfully request that An Coimisiún Pleanála require:

- Comprehensive assessment of soil-borne vibrational noise impacts
- Evaluation of effects on soil macrofauna, especially earthworms
- Protective buffer zones of at least 200–250 metres from turbine bases to high-value agricultural soils
- Vibration-dampening measures in turbine design and foundations
- Soil biodiversity indicators in post-construction monitoring

Reference:

- Velilla, E., Collinson, E., Bellato, L., Berg, M.P., & Halfwerk, W. (2021). Vibrational noise from wind energy turbines negatively impacts earthworm abundance. *Oikos*, 130(7), 1033–1047.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.08166>

Impact on neurodiverse community members

I object on the grounds of serious harm to neurodiverse individuals, including those with autism, ADHD, and sensory processing disorders.

Numerous studies and planning inspectors with An Coimisiún Pleanála have acknowledged that wind turbines can have negative effects on neurodiverse individuals. Research by Howell (2015) found that people with autism are more sensitive to environmental noise, experiencing higher rates of sleep disturbance, cognitive difficulties, and stress due to low-frequency noise (LFN). The neurodiverse community often struggles to filter background sounds, and constant turbine noise and vibration could cause pain, anxiety, and loss of concentration, reducing quality of life.

These impacts extend to education. Local schools and preschools, including Brierfield National School which has a special class for children with autism, would be particularly affected. Senior planning inspectors have previously noted that facilities for children with additional educational needs may become unviable near large-scale wind farms due to such disturbances.

Shadow flicker poses further risks, as studies (Becchio et al., 2010) show that individuals on the autistic spectrum may fixate on spinning movements, heightening distress. Those with epilepsy or neurological conditions may also be affected.

Ireland's obligations under the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities require protection from harm and equal enjoyment of rights. Allowing this development would contradict those principles.

While more research is needed, there is no definitive evidence proving that wind farms are safe for, and do not significantly impact, the neurodiverse community - and the absence of evidence is not evidence of absence.

References:

- An Bord Pleanála (2016) PA0041 - Assisting report to Senior Inspector
- An Bord Pleanála (2015) Inspector's report: ABP-PA0038
- Howell, G. (2015) Autism and the effect of introducing a new noise source into quiet rural communities
- Becchio C, Mari M, Castiello U (2010) Perception of Shadows in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders

Extra construction traffic

I strongly object to this proposal due to the major disruption and safety risks it poses to our local community during the construction phase. The Traffic Management Plan fails to provide clear information on delivery schedules, routes or mitigation for abnormal turbine loads. Our rural roads are narrow, shared by farm machinery, school buses and local traffic, and cannot safely accommodate such heavy haulage without damage or obstruction. The application states that there will be approximately 14 extra return trips made by trucks carrying materials. This is vastly underestimated for a project of this size. There are no binding guarantees on road repairs, traffic management or timing of deliveries to avoid peak community use. Residents, farms and schools in Barnaderg, Cooloo and surrounding areas will face delays, dust, noise and restricted access. This plan does not adequately safeguard community safety, local livelihoods or the integrity of rural infrastructure. Permission should not proceed without full, enforceable traffic controls and local protection measures.

Conclusion

For all of the reasons set out in this submission, it is clear that this windfarm would cause more harm than benefit to our area. This community values its peace, safety, and way of life. The proposed windfarm threatens all of these. I ask An Coimisiún Pleanála to listen to the genuine concerns of local people and to reject this development in the interest of protecting our environment, our homes, and our future.

Yours Sincerely,

Bina Devaney

Name: Bina Devaney

Date: 01 November 2025